


NIHR Research Support Service EGGA, 25th April, 2024

COURSEWORK FEEDBACK

Methods Section



1

Aims

1. To explore the attitudes and beliefs of patients with type 2 diabetes and non-morbid obesity (BMI 30-40kg/m²), about the role of bariatric surgery and other weight loss interventions in their management. To ascertain whether they would consider entry to an RCT which randomised to BS.
2. To identify the number of patients with BMI 30-40 kg/m² and type 2 diabetes who might be potentially eligible for an RCT in a sample of GP diabetes registers in the South West (SW) and the Central England (CE) PCRN.
3. To assess the attitudes of bariatric surgeons, GPs, and diabetologists to BS as a treatment option for such patients; their opinions on participating in an RCT above and for which sub-groups of patients (e.g. HBA1c level), and their equipoise over gastric bypass and banding.

NIHR Research Support Service <https://fundingawards.nihr.ac.uk/award/PB-PG-0808-17257>

2

Reviewing a Methods Section

1. Does the methods section, as presented, convince you that:
 - i. The researchers will be able to answer the research question?
 - ii. The methods being used are (a) appropriate, and (b) scientifically rigorous?
 - iii. The proposed work is feasible?
2. What could be improved, e.g. in terms of structure, detail, presentation?
3. Is the methods section complete?
4. Is the methods section clear?

NIHR Research Support Service

3

[1] No clear statement that this is a feasibility study. The design would be described as 'mixed methods'.

[1] GP practices who are members of the ***** and England PCRN's [2] will be contacted and asked to see if they would be willing to participate in the study. Of those that reply positively, 40 practices will be selected to provide a variety of rural/urban, socio-economic and where possible, ethnic mix. (We recognise that such GPs may not be representative of all GPs but they would be likely recruitment sites for an RCT and their patients will be equally representative) [3].

[2] A sub-heading here for 'Recruitment & Sampling' would be helpful.
Spell out acronym.

[3] [BTW] Good example of a 'defensive' argument (pre-empt and let reviewers forget).

NIHR | Research Support Service

4

The Diabetic Lead GPs in these 40 practices will be asked to co-ordinate:

1.1 Database Search: A pro-forma will be developed to aid identification of the number of Type 2 diabetics with BMI 30-40 kg/m² aged 18-74 with no obvious contraindications to BS (i.e. secondary diabetes, previous BS, drug/alcohol addiction, mental health problems, recent major CVD, recent /malignancy (though not non melanotic skin), advanced or severe CKD, liver fibrosis /portal hypertension, severe respiratory disease). [4]

[4] Might be better as specific sub-heading: 'Eligibility criteria'.
Spell out acronyms.

(We will sample patients with BMI 27.5-40 kg/m² in south Asian patients, 27.5 is the WHO's lower public health action point for south Asian patients who have more body fat than Caucasians at the same BMI level.) [5] Clinical details (year of diagnosis, latest HbA1c, Framingham risk score if available and age) will allow calculation of the effect of various cut points on potential sample size. Details of the ethnic mix and the Index of Multiple Deprivation of their catchment area will be obtained if available (or derived for the practice's local authority using the practice postcode and Geo-convert system). [6]

[5] Good that they are considering diversity & inclusion issues. Odd to have in brackets at paragraph start. Could split sentence.

[6] Don't really say why they are doing this. Presumably, to gauge representativeness

NIHR | Research Support Service

5

1.2 Qualitative Interviews: [7,8]

Sampling:

In 5 practices from different demographic areas, a purposive sample (based on BMI, age, gender, ethnic and socioeconomic factors) of 60 patients (ie 12 per site) will be invited by their GP to participate in the qualitative interview study. From respondents, 30 patients will be selected to explore attitudes and beliefs about their diabetes, role of BS and other weight loss interventions, use of the private sector, willingness to enter an RCT or preferences for particular modes.

Current research by **** involves interviews with type 2 diabetics in low IMD [9] areas and from the South Asian community in ***** , so the proposed purposive sampling strategy is felt to be feasible.

[7] A 'Data Collection' sub-heading would help guide the reader. It would help if this were **Bold**/ underlined.

[8] Would be good to state the specific objective for which these interviews are being undertaken. The main points from the objective could act as a 'tag line' throughout the proposal ('attitudes & beliefs').
As 1.3 also involves qualitative interviews, this should perhaps be 'Qualitative interviews of NHS patients registered with a GP'.
Why are 60 being invited when 30 are required? Or is there a broader interview with all 60? Or are they expecting a 50% response rate? Why would they select from a group (who have volunteered), not sample until they have the group they want?

[9] Spell out acronym (not given when Index of Multiple Deprivation first used). Good pre-empting of criticism.

NIHR | Research Support Service

6

Semi-structured interviews will explore:

- experiences of managing weight whilst living with type 2 diabetes, any weight loss interventions tried
- understanding preferences and attitudes to BS and different types of BS
- how BS might affect diet, exercise and self-management of their diabetes
- the support and information they would like, in what format, when and who from, to decide whether BS was appropriate for them
- whether they would consider being randomised to different types of BS or no surgery within an RCT and what information and support would help them to make an informed choice [10]
- interest in participating in a patient advisory group [11,12].

Interviews will be digitally recorded and transcribed as soon after the interview as possible. A reflexive log will be kept to record the researcher's perceptions of the interviews and to identify early themes to explore in later interviews.

[10] Saying that they might be willing to be randomised and participate, and actually seeing whether participants would do this in a feasibility study are very different.

[11] Nice example of 'chunking'.

[12] One would hope a PPI group has already been formed to comment on the proposal.

NIHR | Research Support Service

7

1.3 Qualitative Interviews of Private Patients

Few [13] patients with type 2 diabetes and BMI < 40kg/m2 have undergone BS. Those that have are predominantly in the private sector. These patients will be identified from existing databases [14] in **** and ****. Exploratory qualitative interviews will be conducted with a small group sampled at varying times post operation (n=10) [15] to explore their decision to pay for surgery, experiences of surgery and how it has affected their lives and diabetes management.

Qualitative Analysis [16]: the interview transcripts from patients in 1.2 and 1.3 above will be analysed using the Framework Approach [19]. This approach, through coding, charting and mapping allows the data to be explored deductively as well as inductively. In this way, findings can focus on the key questions outlined above, as well as emerging more inductively from the data.

[13] Would be better to have a 'sampling' sub-heading as above for consistency and clarity.

[14] Consideration as to how easy is it to access these databases.

[15] Why 10, when 30 were considered necessary (presumably for data saturation) previously?

[16] Would be nice to have this as a sub-heading.
Will the two groups be analysed together?

NIHR | Research Support Service

8

The analysis of data will be conducted in parallel with data collection so the interview guide can be developed iteratively to explore emerging themes. The researcher will conduct the analysis. **** and other co-applicants, particularly the lay collaborator if she agrees, will code a selection of transcripts to provide inter-rater reliability. (20) [17]

Participants will be asked if they would be interested in joining a patient advisory group [18] to advise on:

- the development of the patient questionnaire (1.3 below) [19]
- the content and style of information materials explaining the rationale for BS for BMI 30-40 kg/m2 and the hypothetical trial options

[17] No reference for the analysis; no software mentioned/ referenced.

[18] These are participants, not PPI.

[19] Good example of 'sign-posting', although we have already had a section 1.3.

NIHR | Research Support Service

9

1.3 Questionnaire of Patient Views [20]

Based on the findings of the qualitative phase, a questionnaire will be developed to quantify the views of patients towards the role of BS within the management of their diabetes, other weight loss strategies they have tried and their willingness to enter various designs (i.e. 2 arm, 3 arm, delayed preference) of a potential trial.

This will be mailed out to all those identified through the GPs' databases [21]. Information materials explaining the evidence for and rationale for BS for BMI 30-40 kg/m² and the hypothetical trial options will be included.

[20] Two 1.3s? Heading bold/ underlined would be helpful.

[21] It is not clear whether this is the 5 or the 40. If latter, would make sense to discuss the sampling for the survey separately here rather than at the start, or to say, of the 40, 5 will be used in the qualitative work, and all would be used in the patient survey (i.e. more thought as to structure).

NIHR | Research Support Service

10

Sample size [22] for Patient Questionnaire:

Estimating that an average of 20 patients will be identified [23] in each of the 40 practices gives a total of 800 questionnaires (formatted for electronic scanning for efficient and accurate data entry).

Estimating a conservative response rate of 50% [24], 400 questionnaires will be returned. Taking the primary outcome as the proportion prepared to enter a hypothetical trial and assuming it is 25% [25], the true proportion can be estimated to within +5% with 95% confidence with 289 completed questionnaires. Increasing the sample to 400 will allow us to look at associations with factors such as gender and BMI (<=35).

[22] Inconsistent capitalisation; also seen earlier in 'south Asian' and later in 'Diabetic Lead GPs'

[23] Typo

[24] Is 50% a conservative response rate? Reference for this?

[25] Not sure where this comes from?

NIHR | Research Support Service

11

Analysis of patient questionnaire [26]: we will use descriptive statistics and contingency tables to explore patients' willingness to enter various designs of a hypothetical trial, their views on bariatric surgery and simple associations with ethnic, socio-demographic, age, gender and clinical factors (e.g. BMI).

Phase 2 [27]: Clinicians Views: Bariatric Surgeons, Diabetologists, GPs (Diabetic Leads) surveys 2.1) [28]

Bariatric Surgeons: All bariatric surgeons in England [29] will be sent the questionnaire [30] n=100.

[26] Proper sub-heading would be helpful. More specific detail on the statistical methods would be more convincing, even though it is straightforward.

Details of software being used again adds specific information which feels more convincing.

[27] There was no distinct 'Phase 1' heading above.

[28] 'surveys 2.1)? Is this a heading in the wrong place?

[29] How will they be identified? This comes a little later.

[30] Which questionnaire? Details of its development comes later, which is not very logical. It sounds as if they are getting the patient questionnaire. Is 100 the sampling frame or proposed sample?

NIHR | Research Support Service

12

Consultant Diabetologists:
A random sample of 200 consultant diabetologists registered with the Association of British Clinical Diabetologists will be contacted. A previous survey had a 50% response rate [31]. (21) With 100 responses, assuming 25% would agree to participate on a trial, the true proportion can be estimated to within $\pm 8.5\%$ with 95% confidence [32].

GPs Diabetic Leads: GPs from PCRNs in *** and *** n=200
To help develop [33] the questionnaire we will follow-up [34] by short telephone call the lead GPs for diabetes in the 40 practices in phase 1 to elicit their views in a semi-structured interview [35].
The sample size [36] has been calculated pragmatically to balance resource constraints against precision of any estimate of response rate.

[31] Helps to reassure reviewers.

[32] Statistician would check this (as they would earlier calculation).

[33] So logically this should go before the survey details? Or is this something separate for GPs only? If not, why only asking GPs?

[34] Follow-up what? Right word?

[35] Unclear whether or not this is after they have been sent a questionnaire draft; or whether or not this is formal qualitative work.

[36] For what? Should this be a new paragraph?

NIHR | Research Support Service

13

Also included with the questionnaire [37] will be:

- Information sheets presenting the evidence and uncertainties over BS in the BMI 30-40kg/m2 group with type 2 diabetes.
- Summary of findings of qualitative interviews and patient questionnaire to provide information of patients' views of BS [38].

Questionnaires and information sheets will be piloted [39] with a small group of surgeons, diabetologists and diabetic lead GPs in Southampton. The questionnaire will draw on the work of Young (22) in establishing equipoise [40] among surgeons using a postal questionnaire.

[37] For all groups or just the GPs (above)?
Better structure/ sub-headings would help.

[38] Would we want the patient view to bias the GP view?

[39] Again talking here of questionnaire development. Earlier?

[40] Needs a bit more explanation & detail.

NIHR | Research Support Service

14

The questionnaire will ask:

- opinions on the role of BS for type 2 diabetes with BMI 30-35 kg/m2, and/or 35-40 kg/m2, all or only those with HBA1c >7.5%, or with high CVD risk on Framingham or other criteria (eg microalbuminuria)
- whether they would recommend surgery and for which types of patient
- whether they would be prepared to enter patients into a hypothetical trial
- whether they would consider even lower BMI 27.5-30kg/m2 if patient was at higher risk
- which age groups they would consider for BS
- what support would be needed pre and post operatively for these patients
- whether they would consider participating in an RCT and reasons for their decision
- preferences for the design of the trial (3 arm, 2 arm i.e band or bypass vs best medical, which type of surgery), and the reasons for their preference
- whether they favour one arm over another, and reasons for their view
- additional capacity required to provide the operations

NIHR | Research Support Service

15

Analysis of Questionnaires [41]: We will use descriptive statistics and contingency tables [42] to explore clinicians' response rates [43], their willingness to participate and their views on trial design. Factors of interest include volume of operations for surgeons and geographical area or IMD 2007 [44] of practices for GPs [45].

Phase 3: Stakeholder Conference

A stakeholder conference will be held to present the findings and agree [46] whether an RCT of BS in this patient group is appropriate and feasible and if so to identify the next steps required to design such a study.

Participants (approx. 30):

- Patient advisory group [47]
- Key bariatric surgeons, diabetologists and Diabetic lead GPs
- The research team
- Selected PCT Commissioners [48], [49]

Feedback annotations:

- [41] Better to have more obvious heading.
- [42] More detail on statistics. Comparing what with what?
- [43] Clarify
- [44] Spell out
- [45] ?
- [46] No detail on consensus process.
- [47] PPI or participants from earlier?
- [48] Could have 'procedures' at end but have incorporated some along the way.
- [49] Bullets mis-aligned

NIHR | Research Support Service

16

POLL TIME!

1. Do you think the researchers will be able to answer the research question?
• **VOTE NOW**
2. Do you think the methods being used are appropriate?
• **VOTE NOW**
3. Do you think the methods being used are scientifically rigorous?
• **VOTE NOW**
4. Do you think that the proposed work is feasible?
• **VOTE NOW**
5. Do you think the methods section was clear?
• **VOTE NOW**

NIHR | Research Support Service

17
